1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
2	WESTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
4	Plaintiff, ) Case No.
5	vs. )10-00025-01-CR-W-HFS
6	KHALID OUAZZANI, )
7	Defendant. )
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING
10	On Wednesday, May 19, 2010, the above-entitled
11	cause came on before the Honorable Howard F. Sachs, U.S.
12	District Judge, sitting in Kansas City, Missouri.
13	
14	APPEARANCES
15	For the Plaintiff: MR. J. DANIEL STEWART Assistant United States Attorney
16	Charles Evans Whittaker Courthouse 400 East Ninth Street, Floor 5
17	Kansas City, Missouri 64106
18	For the Defendant: MR. ROBIN D. FOWLER Bath & Edmonds, P.A.
19	7944 Santa Fe Drive Overland Park, Kansas 66204
20	overland rank, nameds ouzon
21	
22	Gayle M. Wambolt, RMR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter, Room 7552
23	Charles Evans Whittaker Courthouse  400 East Ninth Street
24	Kansas City, MO 64106 (816) 512-5641
25	1

Gayle M. Wambolt, CCR No. 462 Registered Merit Reporter

1	WEDNESDAY, MAY 19, 2010
2	THE COURT: The court is in session for a
3	reported waiver of indictment and a plea to an information
4	and also in a pending case, a plea of guilty to two counts
5	regarding bank fraud and money laundering. The case is
6	United States of America v. Khalid Ouazzani.
7	Mr. Stewart will be lead counsel for the United
8	States.
9	Mr. Fowler will be lead counsel for the
10	defendant.
11	I would ask that the defendant and Mr. Fowler
12	come forward.
13	The oath should be administered to Mr. Ouazzani.
14	KHALID OUAZZANI, being duly sworn, testified:
15	EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:
16	Q The papers that I have indicate that you were born in
17	1977. Would that be correct, Mr. Ouazzani?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q And how much education have you completed?
20	A Some college.
21	Q Can you give me in general some description of the
22	employment experience, your general occupation?
23	A Mostly sales management.
24	Q Are you currently or have you recently been under the
25	care of a physician or psychiatrist?

1 Α No, sir. Have you been hospitalized or treated for a narcotic addiction? 3 4 Α No, sir. And are you presently on any medication affecting your 6 thought processes, or have you had any narcotic drugs in 7 the past 24 hours? No, sir. Α 9 THE COURT: You have counsel at this time. Ι 10 advise that you have a right to a lawyer, and that if 11 necessary a lawyer will be appointed to represent you. 12 We will deal first with the issue of the filing 13 of an information which is in addition to the present 14 indictment that is pending against you for bank fraud and 15 money laundering. 16 A copy of the information that the government 17 wishes to file is in front of me. It can only be filed 18 with your consent, so we need to have proceedings to 19 determine if you are willing to have the information filed 20 with your consent. And we need to have a sufficient 21 record indicating an understanding of your rights in 2.2 connection with that filing. 2.3 At some point in the proceeding if the information is filed, there would be a right to have the 24 25 information read in open court, and I will have it read at

1 this time by Mr. Stewart for two reasons. One, to deal with that portion of the proceeding 3 and also to make sure you understand what the charge against you that the government wishes to file would be. So, Mr. Stewart, you can -- I would ask you to 6 read the information at this time. MR. STEWART: May it please the court. The information reads, "The United States 9 attorney charges, one, at all times material to this 10 information Al-Qaida was, A, a designated terrorist 11 organization as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. Section 12 2339B(g)(6), that is, an organization that was first 13 designated by the U.S. Secretary of State as a foreign 14 terrorist organization on October 8, 1999, and has been 15 redesignated as such on various dates; 16 "And, B, was an organization which had engaged

"And, B, was an organization which had engaged in and engages in terrorist activity as defined in Section 212(a)(3)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act and which had engaged in and engages in terrorism as defined in Section 140(d)(2) of the Foreign Relations

Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

"Two, from at least in or about August 2007, up to and including in or about February 2010, at Kansas City in the Western District of Missouri, and elsewhere defendant Khalid Ouazzani and others known and unknown did

knowingly conspire to provide, quote, material support or resources, closed quote, as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. Section 2339A(b) to Al-Qaida, Ouazzani and the coconspirators knowing that Al-Qaida was a designated terrorist organization and that Al-Qaida was an organization which had engaged in and was engaging in terrorist activity and terrorism; and there is jurisdiction for this offense under 18 U.S.C. Section 2339B(d)(1), Sections A, D, and E, in that defendant Ouazzani is a national of the United States as defined in Section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. Section 1101(a)(22); the offense occurred in whole or in part within the United States; and the offense occurred in and affected interstate or foreign commerce.

2.3

"Three, it was a part of the conspiracy that
Ouazzani and coconspirators would and did discuss various
specific ways they could support Al-Qaida, including
providing currency, advice, and assistance to Al-Qaida,
such assistance including fighting in Afghanistan, Iraq,
or Somalia at the request of Al-Qaida. In conducting
these discussions, Ouazzani and coconspirators, known and
unknown to the United States, would and did use various
methods and techniques to attempt to disguise their
communications in order to avoid detection by law
enforcement officials that they were providing various

1 types of support to Al-Qaida. "Four, it was a further part of the conspiracy 3 that Ouazzani would and did personally provide over \$23,000 in United States currency for the use and benefit 4 5 of Al-Qaida and would and did perform other tasks at the 6 request of and for the benefit of Al-Qaida. 7 "Overt acts, paragraph 5, in furtherance of the 8 conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, 9 Ouazzani committed and caused to be committed the 10 following overt acts, among others, in the Western 11 District of Missouri and elsewhere: 12 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

"A, in or about August 2007, Ouazzani agreed to contribute approximately \$6,500 to Al-Qaida through a coconspirator and caused the coconspirator to pay such funds to Al-Qaida on behalf of Ouazzani.

"B, in or about November 2007, Ouazzani repaid the coconspirator for the \$6,500 funds previously sent to Al-Qaida through a wire transfer of funds from an account of Ouazzani's at Bank of America, Kansas City, Missouri, to an account of the coconspirator in United Arab Emirates.

"C, in or about June or July 2008, Ouazzani agreed to pay Al-Qaida a total of \$17,000 representing his profits from the sale of real property in United Arab Emirates owned by Ouazzani and a coconspirator, and

1 instructed the coconspirator to make such payment to Al-Oaida on Ouazzani's behalf. 3 "D, in or about June 2008, Ouazzani swore an 4 oath of allegiance to Al-Qaida through a coconspirator. 5 "All in violation of Title 18, U.S. Code, 6 Sections 2339B(a)(1), (d)(1)(A), (d)(1)(D), and 7 (d)(1)(E)." THE COURT: The sum of the language in the 9 proposed information may be fairly well understood by you, 10 but I would further advise that the prosecution would need 11 to prove at trial if there was a trial for this charge of 12 material support to a terrorist organization, that you 13 knowingly provided material support or resources to a 14 foreign terrorist organization or that you conspired to do 15 so, that is, agreed to do so, planned to do so, that you 16 knew that the organization Al-Qaida was a designated 17 terrorist organization or that it was engaged in terrorist 18 activities. 19 In order to have jurisdiction, there would need 20 to be a showing that you were either a national of the 21 United States or a permanent resident alien or that the 22 offense occurred in whole or in part within the United 2.3 States or that the offense occurred in or affecting 24 interstate or foreign commerce.

The term "terrorism" is defined in statute

meaning premeditated, politically motivated, violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents.

2.2

2.3

Terrorist activity is defined as including various activities that are unlawful under the laws of the place where committed or which if they had been committed in the United States would be unlawful under the laws of the United States including a list of conduct such as highjacking or sabotage of vehicles or aircraft, use of biological agents or chemical agents or explosives or dangerous devices with intent to endanger directly or indirectly the safety of one or more individuals or to cause substantial damage to property.

Unless counsel would ask that I give a further explanation of the proposed information, I'll go on to the issue of punishment which you need to keep in mind when a decision is made as to whether you're willing to have this information filed with your consent.

The maximum punishment under the statutes would be a term of 15 years imprisonment, a fine not to exceed \$250,000. There would be a period of supervised release after imprisonment of not more than five years, and there would be a \$100 mandatory special assessment. The reference that I made to supervised release means that after a release from imprisonment, there would be

supervision by a federal probation officer. One purpose of that would be to get assurance that there was compliance with what we call conditions of release. The conditions of release cannot be fully explained at this time because they would vary from individual to individual and from offense to offense.

2.2

2.3

But for illustration the conditions of release would always include a requirement that there be no further law violation. If it was reported that there was a violation of a condition of release, the court would have to determine if the violation occurred, and if so, the court could impose a second period of imprisonment as punishment for the violation.

The second period, the maximum on the second period of imprisonment is not in the papers before me. I believe they would -- it would be three years for a violation unless counsel advises me differently.

MR. FOWLER: Judge, I'm sorry. I think that's accurate as for probably the bank fraud count.

I think the information on the 2339B count is a three-year maximum term of supervised release. It's probably irrelevant since the bank fraud has a maximum of five. The plea agreement has three years. I think maybe the caption is incorrect on the information.

THE COURT: All right. I'll accept that

1 correction because there are various issues as to various periods of supervised release on the various counts. 3 I do advise that there's no credit given for 4 compliance with conditions of release which means that the 5 same punishment would be imposed if the violation occurred 6 after a good deal of compliance as would be imposed if the 7 violation occurred shortly after supervision began. I also advise that the court could impose the 9 cost of imprisonment and the cost of supervision after 10 imprisonment on a defendant, but the decision on whether 11 to impose those costs depends largely on the ability to 12 pay. 13 Mr. Ouazzani, we're limiting ourselves to the 14 information because that's what has not yet been filed. 15 Do you understand the nature of the charge and 16 the maximum punishment under the law? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: I have suggested that the 19 information can only be filed with your consent. Under 20 the Constitution you will have the right to have these 21 charges presented to a grand jury instead of having them 2.2 filed by government counsel. However, you can give up

> Gayle M. Wambolt, CCR No. 462 Registered Merit Reporter

your grand jury rights and consent to the filing by the

to grand jury, the matter would be presented to a grand

United States attorney. If you do not give up your right

2.3

24

1 jury and could only proceed against you if the grand jury were to file charges in what's called an indictment. 3 you decline to waive indictment, the government can 4 proceed with the grand jury. 5 I need to advise you further about a grand jury. 6 A grand jury is a body of persons appointed by the judges 7 for a number of months. Twenty-three are appointed to 8 serve as grand jurors. At least 16 must be present in 9 order for the grand jury to have a quorum to take action, 10 and at least 12 of the grand jurors must find there's 11 probable cause to believe that a person has committed a 12 crime before they can be indicted. 13 If you do give up your grand jury rights, your 14 right to an indictment, the case proceeds against you just 15 as though there had been an indictment. 16 Have you discussed the matter of giving up your 17 grand jury rights? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: And do you understand the grand 20 jury rights or the right to indictment that I have referred to? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2.3 THE COURT: Were there any threats or 24 promises made other than a plea agreement to cause you to 25 waive indictment? 11

No, sir. 1 THE DEFENDANT: 2 THE COURT: And do you wish to give up your 3 grand jury rights, your right to an indictment? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I have a waiver of 6 indictment form before me that has been signed, and I will 7 sign it also essentially as a witness. I would authorize that the information be filed. I conclude that the waiver 9 of indictment is knowingly made and voluntarily made and 10 it is accepted. So the information that has previously 11 been read can now be filed. 12 We next need to deal with what's called an 13 arraignment. That is your decision on whether to plead 14 quilty or not quilty to the information, but we also have 15 under the plea agreement an anticipated plea to two of the 16 charges in the indictment. I need to review those charges 17 with you before taking the plea. 18 It's my understanding that we're dealing with 19 Count 11 which is referenced as bank fraud, Union Bank, 20 and Count 23 which is referred to as money laundering, 21 Union Bank funds charge. 2.2 Count 11, the bank fraud charge, has some 2.3 complexity. I'll touch on what would appear to me to be 2.4 the more significant portions or at least the portion that 25 would allow an understanding of the whole count if the

description of the charges should be supplemented either on the information or on the indictment. I would invite Mr. Stewart to at some point suggest a further explanation.

1

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

But the substance of the bank fraud charge would seem to be that on the 9th of October of 2006, that you, Mr. Ouazzani, formed a Missouri Limited Liability Company with an address at East Truman Road in this city called Hafssa, LLC, and that that company was in the retail business of buying and selling auto parts and used motor vehicles; that in February of 2007 you filed a fictitious name registration as Truman Used Auto Parts and did business under that name, which is -- of course, that portion of it is appropriate and not illegal; that between March of 2007 and October of 2008, that you devised a scheme to defraud Union Bank in Kansas City, Missouri, which was an insured depository institution that is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; that the plan was to obtain funds by material false and fraudulent pretenses and representations; that in April of 2007, you applied for a line of credit commercial loan from Union Bank and submitted false and fraudulent financial information; that the following misrepresentations were made: That a copy of a 2005 federal personal income tax return was submitted to the

bank which substantially overstated the amount of income as compared with the tax return that you actually filed with the Internal Revenue Service.

2.3

It's further alleged that you made false statements concerning the value of approximately nine parcels of real estate purchased at tax foreclosure sales and that you concealed the fact that you were married and that there was a spousal interest in the real estate.

It's also alleged that you knowingly submitted a borrowing base certificate dated the 17th of May of 2007, overstating the amount of business inventory claiming that it totaled over \$680,000 whereas the inventory was substantially less than that amount; that as part of the scheme to defraud Union Bank on about April 16th, 2007, you caused the Union Bank to make a loan line of credit in the amount of \$175,000 to the company for, quote, working capital based on material false and fraudulent financial information previously submitted.

It's alleged that you signed a promissory note for the \$175,000 commercial loan line of credit and represented that the company that I referred to had regulatory licenses required to operate the businesses whereas the -- and neither the company nor you had the required licenses to sell used auto parts or motor vehicles.

1 It's further alleged in this fraud count that you made withdrawals of approximately \$181,000 and used 3 the proceeds for purposes other than working capital. 4 It's alleged that you diverted receipts, and funds were not used to repay the Union Bank but rather for use and 6 benefit of yourself including issuing a check for \$10,000 7 to an individual at Atlantic Realty on March 17th, 2008 and a wire transfer of \$9,000 payable to the same 9 individual in Australia on October 14th, '08; and in 10 addition that you defaulted on payments resulting in a 11 loss of over \$174,000 and that the false information 12 previously referred to was made to induce the commercial 13 loan that has been referred to. 14 So that's the allegation of the bank fraud 15 charge essentially. 16 The money laundering charge includes an 17 allegation that on April 25th, 2007, you caused to be 18 withdrawn an advance of \$75,000 on the working capital 19 commercial loan line from Union Bank and caused those 20 funds to be deposited into an account of the company at 21 Union Bank in violation of a statute. That was April 2.2 25th. 2.3 On May 17th, 2007, you caused to be withdrawn an advance of \$21,000 on the working capital customer loan 24

line of credit and caused the funds to be deposited in the

company's account at Union Bank in violation of the same statute; that on April the 30th, 2007 -- from about April 30th, 2007 through May 21, 2007, you caused to be withdrawn counter checks totaling \$115,000 payable to you and two checks totaling \$60,000 drawn on the company account at Union Bank payable to you and caused those checks to be deposited in a Bank of America account.

2.3

On May the 23rd, 2007, you caused a wire transfer of approximately \$112,830 from the Bank of America to an account of New World Star Real Estate, Habib Bank Limited, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, and the source of the funds was the \$171,000 in advance on the Union Bank working capital commercial loan line of credit.

And, further, that you on May the 23rd, 2007, in this district, that you conducted a financial transaction, a wire transfer of \$112,830 from a place in the United States that is the Bank of America in Kansas City to a place outside the United States, that is, the entity previously identified, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, knowing that the funds involved in the transaction represented the proceeds of a form of unlawful activity and knowing that the transaction was designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the source, ownership of the funds. That is bank fraud.

Now, I need to review with you further the 16

nature of these charges and the punishment under the law for a violation. The bank fraud charge in Section 1344 of Title 18 punishes persons who knowingly execute a scheme or artifice to defraud a financial institution by means of false or fraudulent pretenses. That's the first count that I had referred to.

2.3

The money laundering charge is described in Section 1956 in Title 18, punishing persons who knowingly invest financial transactions with proceeds of form of unlawful activity with intent to carry out the unlawful activity or to conceal or disguise the nature of the ownership or specified financial — unlawful activity.

The bank fraud charge, if established by proof at trial or by a plea of guilty, could result in a prison term of up to 30 years, a fine of up to \$1 million, a period of supervised release of not more than five years, and there would be an order of restitution for any loss that has occurred.

The money laundering count could result in a term of imprisonment of not more than 20 years, a fine of up to \$500,000, a term of supervised release be not more than three years, and there would be a \$100 mandatory special assessment on each of the counts. That is on each of the counts that I've referred to in the indictment and also on the information.

1 Now, the punishment could be imposed to run concurrently or to run consecutively. The court would 3 have authority to impose consecutive sentences on both the 4 information charged and the two counts of bank fraud and money laundering that I have referred to. 6 I've already discussed with you the issues of 7 supervised release and punishment for a violation. I've said concerning that with the information would apply also with the two counts on the indictment. 10 Mr. Ouazzani, do you understand the charges 11 against you in the bank fraud charge that is Count 11? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: And do you understand the charges 14 against you in Count 23 in the money laundering charge? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: And do you understand the maximum 17 punishment that I've explained to you? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: I might suggest that if anyone 20 gets tired during the course of this session, you can ask 21 for a brief recess. 2.2 I need to review with you, Mr. Ouazzani, your 2.3 procedural rights. I have referred to your right to 2.4 counsel. I remind you of that. There's a right to plead 25 not quilty to the information and to the two counts of the

indictment that I have referred to and persist in that count.

2.3

If you did plead not guilty, there would be a trial. It would be a jury trial. There would be the right to hear witnesses against you in the courtroom, the right to have the witnesses questioned by your attorney. You would have what is referred to as privilege against self-incrimination at a trial. That means that you would not be compelled to testify unless you chose to do so.

If you did not testify, then the prosecution would not be permitted to make any argument to the jury or comment to the jury that they should in some way hold it against you that you did not testify, find you guilty because you did not testify. If you wish to be a witness, you could be a witness. You could also call witnesses to testify on your behalf.

At a trial you would be presumed to be innocent of the charges, which means that the government would be required to present sound legal evidence establishing guilt and would be required to satisfy the jury beyond a reasonable doubt on each of the three charges that we have been referring to before there could be a conviction.

That jury would consist of 12 persons. They would all have to agree on guilt before there could be a conviction. If the jury was unable to agree, there could

1 be another trial, but there could be no conviction without a unanimous decision by all 12 members of the jury. 3 In the event of a trial and a conviction, there 4 would be the right to appeal through another court, and 5 that court, a panel of three judges, would be available to 6 review the proceedings to be sure that there had been 7 essentially a fair trial and that there was enough evidence to allow a verdict of quilty. 9 In the event of a pleading of guilty that's 10 accepted by the court, there would be no trial, and by 11 that plea you would be giving up the trial rights that I 12 have been reviewing with you and also a right to appeal 13 from the finding of quilt. 14 Do you understand the procedural rights I've 15 been reviewing with you? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Next we'll talk about the 18 questioning process. I cannot just accept a defendant's 19 statement that a defendant is quilty of certain charges. 20 I have to find before entering that plea that there is a 21 factual basis for acceptance of the plea. 22 In order to do that, generally it's handled by questions to a defendant about the offense. I do remind 2.3 24 you that because of the privilege against 25 self-incrimination, there is no legal duty to respond to

1 the questions about the offense. You can make essentially a confession in the courtroom if you choose to do so. 3 But it is your choice. 4 I do remind you that you are under oath and that 5 the answers you give could be used against you in a 6 prosecution for perjury for making a false statement. Do 7 you understand the questioning process? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: Now, I have referred at times to 10 a plea agreement, which I have seen. We need to have a 11 record showing an understanding of that agreement which 12 runs to 21 pages. We do not need a line-by-line review of 13 the agreement, but I would ask the lawyers, either one 14 side or the other, to describe what you consider to be the 15 main points in the plea agreement. 16 Mr. Stewart. 17 MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor. The agreement 18 references the anticipated pleas of quilty to the three 19 counts discussed in court, and there's a factual basis for 20 the guilty plea, which Mr. Fowler I believe is going to 21 question Mr. Ouazzani about in more detail. 22 There's a reference to the statutory penalties 2.3 that are provided by statute. 2.4 Paragraph 7 refers to the government's agreements. Those are that the government agrees not to 25

1 bring any additional charges against the defendant or any federal criminal offenses related to the crimes charged in 3 the indictment or information, and the government would 4 dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment at the time of sentencing. 6 There are provisions about the preparation of 7 the presentence report. Paragraph 10 refers to the guideline's 9 applications, and there is a detailed recitation of what 10 is the government's anticipated sentencing guidelines for 11 the court to consider in making the final determination. 12 The introduction of that states that the government 13 submits but defendant may not agree as follows, and the 14 details relating to the guidelines for bank fraud and 15 money laundering and the material support to a designated 16 terrorist organization are set forth in some detail there. 17 I will not go through those. 18 While the defendant reserves the right to --19 doesn't stipulate to them, Mr. Fowler has indicated that 20 he's in significant agreement with most of the guidelines 21 but wanted to reserve his rights to contest, if necessary, 22 certain portions of them. 2.3 Is that correct, Mr. Fowler? 2.4 MR. FOWLER: It is, Your Honor.

22

There are some other matters,

MR. STEWART:

including the rights that the court has just gone through, 1 the trial rights. Paragraph 15 refers to the waiver of 3 appellate rights by the defendant in some detail, that he 4 agrees to waive his -- any right to appeal a finding of 5 guilt. He does retain the right to appeal any sentencing 6 guideline issues that have not been agreed upon by the 7 parties. There are certain provisions relating to 9 financial obligations and a number of provisions relating 10 to waiver of Freedom of Information Act rights, claims for 11 attorney fees, biological evidence, and so forth. 12 are provisions then on -- for each of the -- if there is a 13 breach of the plea agreement, what happens. 14 I think in substance that's what the plea 15 agreement provides. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, is there anything 17 that has been said about the plea agreement that you 18 disagree with, or is there anything that has not been 19 mentioned? 20 MR. FOWLER: No, Your Honor. I think that's 21 accurate. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Ouazzani, before signing the 2.3 plea agreement, did you review it carefully with your 24 attorney? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 23

1 THE COURT: And having done that and having heard the description in the courtroom, are you satisfied 3 you do understand the agreement? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: The record should reflect I have 6 not participated in negotiations leading to the agreement, 7 and I will follow my standard practice of accepting the agreement conditionally, that is, subject to further consideration when I receive a presentence report. 10 should reject the agreement for some reason, which would 11 be very unusual, I would afford the defendant an 12 opportunity to withdraw his plea and to ask for a trial. 13 That would be extremely unusual, but I mention 14 it simply to indicate that if despite the proceedings 15 today there were to be a trial, nothing said today could 16 be used to prove the charges brought by the government. 17 Having made that reference to a possible 18 withdrawal of the plea, I want the defendant to understand 19 that other than a rejection of the plea agreement, it 20 should be considered almost impossible to obtain the 21 court's approval of a withdrawal of a guilty plea once 2.2 it's been offered to the court and accepted. 2.3 Now, I'll ask what I suppose are the most 24 important questions of the proceeding. 25 On the information that has been filed and

1	that's been described here, how do you wish to plead,
2	guilty or not guilty?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
4	THE COURT: Okay. On the Count 11 of the
5	indictment that is the bank fraud charge, how do you wish
6	to plead, guilty or not guilty?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
8	THE COURT: And on the money laundering
9	charge, Count 23, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not
10	guilty?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, are you satisfied
13	that the defendant is competent to enter a plea?
14	MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I would suppose that there are
16	some sensitive issues here in the government's
17	investigation, but, Mr. Fowler, are you satisfied that you
18	have sufficient information from the government's
19	investigative file and from your own investigation to
20	recommend the plea?
21	MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Ouazzani, have you conferred
23	with your attorney as much as you believe you need to to
24	enter into a plea?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25

1	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the way
2	he's handled this case?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Have you understood the
5	proceedings so far?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: It's been an unusually long
8	proceeding. If there is something that you have some
9	doubts about, you can ask for an opportunity to talk to
10	your attorney further.
11	Are you ready to proceed?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: Was there any physical force used
14	to cause you to enter into a plea agreement or plead
15	guilty?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: Were there any threats made
18	causing you to enter into the agreement or to plead
19	guilty?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
21	THE COURT: Were there any promises that have
22	been made other than promises in writing that cause you to
23	plead guilty?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
25	THE COURT: At this point we do need to have 26

1	a factual basis. I recognize that a rather lengthy
2	factual basis has been set forth in the plea agreement in
3	paragraph 3 and signed by the defendant, but I would ask
4	counsel to make a further record while the defendant is
5	before me.
6	I should remind the defendant that he has no
7	obligation to answer questions about the offense but is,
8	of course, free to do so if he chooses to do so after
9	consulting with counsel. But I would ask you either
10	side to help me out with any kind of supplement of the
11	factual statement in the plea agreement.
12	MR. FOWLER: Certainly, Your Honor.
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. FOWLER:
14	Q Mr. Ouazzani, you understand that you are the
15	defendant in this case, both in the indictment that's been
16	referenced and in the information that's been referenced
17	here today? Do you understand that?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q And you understand that at this time you are under
20	oath and answering questions so the court can make certain
21	there's a factual basis that you are guilty of these three
22	offenses?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q And you speak, understand, and read English; is that
25	correct?
	2 /

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And you have reviewed the indictment prior to today in
3	detail both with me and on other occasions, correct?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q And specifically as the court went through Counts 11
6	and 23 of the indictment today, you followed along very
7	closely regarding everything the court said; is that
8	correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And you also were present in court well, prior to
11	today, you and I have gone through the information that
12	was filed here today in detail, correct?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And you obviously were present in court when
15	Mr. Stewart read that into the record today; is that true?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q And you understand the charges both in the information
18	and the indictment?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Specifically regarding the indictment well, and you
21	also have signed a plea agreement which the court has
22	referenced here today, correct?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q And the court made reference to it, but there is a
25	detailed factual basis for the pleas to all three counts, $28$

1 which begins on page 2 and runs through page 6 of the plea agreement, correct? Yes, sir. 3 Α 4 And you and I have reviewed those prior to today on several occasions, correct? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 And you understand that those facts -- you agree that those facts are accurate? 9 Yes, sir. Α 10 Specifically going through those regarding Count 11, 11 you agree that in April of '07, 2007, you obtained a 12 \$175,000 loan from Union Bank as a line of credit for your 13 business Hafssa, correct? 14 Yes, sir. Α 15 And that business was doing business as Truman Auto 16 Parts, correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 And that business was -- it was a retail business in 19 the business of buying and selling used auto parts, 20 correct? 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 And using that business, you obtained a loan for 23 working capital for that business, correct? 24 Yes, sir. Α 25 And to obtain that loan, you provided false financial

1	information about both yourself, your assets, and that
2	company to obtain the loan; is that true?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q And those false that false information included a
5	false 2005 federal income tax submission which overstated
6	your income; is that true?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q And there was information about several parcels of
9	real estate, and that appraisal was falsely inflated as
10	well; is that correct?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q And the purpose of the loan was supposed to be for
13	working capital for your business; is that correct?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q And in addition you also overstated the inventory that
16	Hafssa actually had on hand; is that correct?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And in spite of the fact that the proceeds well, in
19	spite of the fact that you were supposed to use the
20	working proceeds or the proceeds for working capital for
21	your business, you used it for other personal purposes; is
22	that correct?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q And you transferred approximately \$165,000 in the
25	amounts listed in the indictment to the Bank of America;

1	is that correct?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q And you took funds from the Bank of America and you
4	used those to purchase an apartment overseas in addition
5	to other reasons, correct?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q And that was not part of the business that you had
8	obtained the loan, correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Regarding Count 23, in May of 2007, you caused a wire
11	transfer from the account of Bank of America to an
12	overseas account; is that correct?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And these were the funds that you had originally
15	obtained from Union Bank with the false submissions that
16	you described earlier, correct?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And by utilizing the Bank of America account and
19	sending the money overseas, that made it more difficult to
20	trace those funds; is that correct?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q And as we've discussed, those proceeds were used not
23	for your business but to purchase an apartment overseas in
24	addition to other purposes, correct?
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q Moving on to Count I of the information, material
2	support, you are a naturalized citizen of the United
3	States; is that correct?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q And you are aware that Al-Qaida is a foreign terrorist
6	organization; is that true?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q And you heard the court recite the definition of what
9	a foreign terrorist organization is and you understood
10	that Al-Qaida had been designated by the United States as
11	a terrorist organization in years prior to the time period
12	discussed in these charges, correct?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And you knew the nature and organization of Al-Qaida
15	in the sense that you knew it had committed acts of
16	terrorism over the years; is that true?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And during the time period in the information, you
19	discussed with others ways to support Al-Qaida; is that
20	correct?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q And one of the ways you agreed to support Al-Qaida was
23	you provided, as detailed in the factual basis and in the
24	information, over \$23,000 in United States currency for
25	the benefit and use of Al-Qaida; is that correct?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And you discussed among others how to perform tasks at
3	the request and for the benefit of Al-Qaida; is that
4	correct?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q And many of the conversations and communications you
7	had with others were conducted covertly to avoid detection
8	by law enforcement or others; is that true?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And specifically regarding the \$23,000, in August of
11	2007, you agreed to contribute approximately \$6,500 to
12	Al-Qaida through another individual; is that correct?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And you later repaid that same individual that \$6,500
15	in funds, correct?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q And in approximately June or July of 2008, you agreed
18	to provide and did provide a further \$17,000 for the
19	benefit of Al-Qaida; is that correct?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q And in June of 2008, you agreed and swore to another
22	individual your intent and desire to join Al-Qaida; is
23	that correct?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q And regarding the bank fraud and the money laundering 33

1	counts, those occurred the acts that we've discussed
2	occurred in the Western District of Missouri during the
3	time periods named in the charges, correct?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q As to the information and the acts discussed in that
6	information, some of those acts would have occurred in the
7	Western District of Missouri but some of those acts would
8	have occurred in other locations; is that correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And you agreed as a part of the discussions regarding
11	your support of Al-Qaida with other individuals, to
12	further the purposes of Al-Qaida; is that correct?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	MR. FOWLER: Your Honor, I think that covers
15	the elements pretty thoroughly and probably beyond. If
16	the court has other areas of inquiry, I'd sure try to
17	address those.
18	THE COURT: Well
19	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, may I have just a
20	moment?
21	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Stewart, are there some
22	additional questions or some issues that you want to take
23	up?
24	MR. STEWART: Not concerning the factual
25	basis, but Mr. Casey reminded me that part of the plea $34$

1 agreement references in the written plea agreement Mr. Ouazzani's agreement to cooperate with forfeiture 3 proceedings. I didn't mention that specifically. 4 THE COURT: All right. If you want -- if 5 questions should be asked of the defendant. 6 MR. FOWLER: We would acknowledge there are 7 forfeiture provisions in the plea agreement. We've discussed those and agreed to them. I think that's 9 separate from the factual basis for the plea, but 10 certainly we acknowledge that. 11 MR. STEWART: Right. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Any other loose ends, Mr. Stewart, that you think we ought to have covered? 13 14 MR. STEWART: We've covered everything. No, 15 that's just one small point that was in the plea agreement 16 that was not covered in open court that I wanted to put on 17 the record. 18 THE COURT: All right. I might mention there 19 seems to be some, I take it, public interest in the 20 proceeding that while, as one might suppose, there are 21 probably a number of confidential matters not known to me 22 either but somewhat unusually the plea agreement itself is 2.3 not under seal, and the factual basis, I think it's been 24 pretty well covered by Mr. Fowler. 25 But there is a -- in paragraph 3 of the plea

1 agreement there is some specification of the factual basis that I take it is available for anyone that wants to check 3 it out for purposes of accuracy. Based on the record before me and what I have 4 5 from both counsel and the defendant, I do accept the plea 6 of quilty as to the information and the plea of quilty as 7 to the bank fraud count that is 11 of the indictment and the money laundering count, 23, of the indictment. 9 I accept those three pleas and direct that they 10 be entered in the record. I find that the pleas have been 11 voluntarily made and that there is a factual basis for 12 acceptance and the defendant understands the consequences 13 of the plea. 14 A presentence investigation is ordered. 15 of the report will be made available for examination by 16 both sides. Both sides will have an opportunity to ask 17 for changes in the report. When there is as much 18 agreement as possible, the report will be prepared in 19 final form and submitted to the court at which time a 20 sentencing proceeding can be scheduled. 21 The defendant is apparently in custody and will remain in custody until further order of the court. 22 2.3 If there is nothing further to take up with me in the case today, court will be adjourned. 24

36

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing pages are a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Registered Merit Reporter Date